

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

KEVIN WASHINGTON,

Petitioner,

Civil No. 2:12-CV-13827

HONORABLE VICTORIA A. ROBERTS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

v.

DUNCAN MACLAREN,

Respondent,

---

**OPINION AND ORDER TRANSFERRING THE PETITION FOR WRIT OF  
HABEAS CORPUS TO THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN**

Kevin Washington, (“Petitioner”), presently confined at the Kinross Correctional Facility in Kincheloe, Michigan, seeks the issuance of a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. In his application, Petitioner challenges his conviction for solicitation to commit murder out of Ionia County, Michigan. In the interests of justice, the Court concludes that the proper venue for this petition would be in the Western District of Michigan and will therefore order that the petition be transferred to that district.

**I. DISCUSSION**

28 U.S.C. 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (d) states:

Where an application for a writ of habeas corpus is made by a person in custody under the judgment and sentence of a State court of a State which contains two or more Federal judicial districts, the application may be filed in the district court for the district wherein such person is in custody or in the district court for the district within which the State court was held which convicted and sentenced him and each of such district courts shall have

concurrent jurisdiction to entertain the application. The district court for the district wherein such an application is filed in the exercise of its discretion and in furtherance of justice may transfer the application to the other district court for hearing and determination.

28 U.S.C. § 2241(d) thus allows a state prisoner who seeks relief from a state court conviction to file a petition for writ of habeas corpus either in the federal district where he or she was convicted or in the district where he or she is confined, provided, of course, that both judicial districts are located within the same State. *Rumsfeld v. Padilla*, 542 U.S. 426, 443 (2004); *Braden v. 30th Judicial Circuit Court of Kentucky*, 410 U.S. 484, 497 (1973); *Schlanger v. Seamans*, 401 U.S. 487, 490, n. 3 (1971).

For the convenience of parties and witnesses, in the interests of justice, a district court may transfer any civil action to any other district or division where it might have been brought. *See Weatherford v. Gluch*, 708 F. Supp. 818, 819-20 (E.D. Mich. 1988); 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a). When venue is inappropriate, a court may transfer a habeas petition to the appropriate federal district court *sua sponte*. *See Verissimo v. I.N.S.*, 204 F. Supp. 2d 818, 820 (D.N.J. 2002).

In the present case, Petitioner was convicted in Ionia County, Michigan and is presently incarcerated at the Kinross Correctional Facility in Kincheloe, Michigan, both located in the Western District of Michigan. A federal district court lacks jurisdiction to hear a state prisoner's habeas petition, where the petitioner was not convicted, sentenced, or incarcerated within that district. *See Wadsworth v. Johnson*, 235 F. 3d 959, 962-63 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2000). Because Petitioner was convicted and sentenced in a state court located in the

Western District of Michigan and is incarcerated in a state facility likewise located in that district, the Western District of Michigan is the only court with jurisdiction to hear his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition. *See Carmona v. Andrews*, 357 F. 3d 535, 537-39 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2004); *See also Manes v. Bell*, 2007 WL 1228093, \* 1 (E.D. Mich. April 23, 2007)(because both the place of petitioner's conviction and the locale of his incarceration were in the Western District of Michigan, the only proper place of venue would be that district)(citing *Gist v. Henderson*, 401 F. Supp. 819, 819 (W.D.N.Y. 1975)). Because Petitioner's conviction was imposed in a state court that was not located in the Eastern District of Michigan and petitioner is not presently in custody in this district, the Court will transfer the matter to the Western District of Michigan pursuant to § 2241(d). *See Dilworth v. Johnson*, 215 F. 3d 497, 499, n. 1 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2000); *Manes*, Slip. Op. at \* 1.

## II. ORDER

Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** the Clerk of the Court to transfer this case to the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d).

S/Victoria A. Roberts

Victoria A. Roberts

United States District Judge

Dated: September 4, 2012

The undersigned certifies that a copy of this document was served on the attorneys of record and Kevin Washington by electronic means or U.S. Mail on September 4, 2012.

S/Linda Vertriest

Deputy Clerk